## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Jonathan Edward Till,	)	C/A No.: 1:11-1391-JFA-SVH
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	ORDER
	)	
Georgetown County Detention Center,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	) )	

The *pro se* plaintiff, Jonathan Edward Till, is a pretrial detainee at the Georgetown County Detention Center. He brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging violations of his constitutional rights.

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action has prepared a Report and Recommendation and opines that this action should be summarily dismissed because the defendant fails to name a potentially liable defendant. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation.

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on September 7, 2011. The plaintiff did not file objections to the Report. In the absence of specific objections to the Report of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

1:11-cv-01391-JFA Date Filed 10/05/11 Entry Number 18 Page 2 of 2

Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After carefully reviewing the applicable laws, the record in this case, and the Report

and Recommendation, this court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation fairly and

accurately summarizes the facts and applies the correct principles of law. The Report is

incorporated herein by reference.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and

service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 5, 2011

Columbia, South Carolina

Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.

United States District Judge